INNOVARE JOURNAL OF EDUCATION

Vol 13, Issue 5, 2025, 1-9

ISSN: 2347-5528

Review Article

Mobile Learning and the Future of Microlearning: Revolutionizing Education with Smartphones and Apps

Prerana Sikarwar
Department of Sociology, Government College Umarban-454449, Dhar (M.P.) India

Sudha Silawat
Higher Education Department, Indore (M.P.) India (Retd.)

Tripat Kaur Chawla
Department of Sociology, Shri Atal Bihari Vajpayee Government Arts and Commerce College, Indore (M.P.) India

Jyoti Agrawal
Department of Botany, Government College Umarban-454449, Dhar (M.P.) India

Abstract

With the availability of smartphones, notepads, laptops, and other mobile applications, mobile learning (mLearning) and microlearning have impacted and transformed concepts in present-day education. In this study, the present status, development, impact, future directions, and limitations of mLearning and microlearning have been reviewed, including their revolutionary consequences on conventional educational institutions, mental influence, and other outcomes. Here, pedagogical frameworks, advantages, and issues related to mobile and microlearning have also been discussed. The outcomes of this review have revealed that using mobile devices for education has enabled flexible, readily available, learner-centered education free from geographical and chronological restrictions. Additionally, assessing important trends such as gamification, personalized learning, different tools, and multimedia use has transformed the educational world completely in a very short period. In summary, the outcomes of the present study revealed that mLearning and microlearning are revolutionizing education, but still, for more general acceptance, worthiness, and efficient use, issues like data security and infrastructural differences must be resolved.

Keywords: mlearning, microlearning, educational technology, smartphones, mobile apps, mobile education, e-learning, digital education

Introduction

Mobile technology has changed everyday life in almost every sector, including job, research, medicine, and education. Because of the growth of smartphones and mobile applications, unlimited and unmatched opportunities for personalized experiences have radically changed the delivery and consumption of knowledge (Aslan, 2022). Two of the most inventive and important ideas in modern education are Mobile Learning (mLearning) and microlearning technologies.

MLearning is the use of laptops, tablets, cellphones, and similar devices to access educational materials. Though this area is new, it has become very common across the world (Mayer, 2005). Opposite to the traditional education method, this learning method offered formal, informal, and non-formal learning contexts; additionally, mLearning systems help to expand educational access outside of conventional universities. With emerging technologies, the great usage of mLearning has produced mobile-compatible apps that fulfill several educational needs, including content delivery, collaborative learning, video lectures, and accurate assessment etc. (Frosch & Lindauer, 2025).

On the other hand, microlearning is a pedagogical method that focuses on the delivery of small learning modules in readily assimilable chunks. Microlearning courses are designed to fit the daily grind of the student; these are often presented in multimedia formats like movies, quizzes, infographics, etc. A large proportion of microlearning materials are very interactive, thus, they can efficiently improve engagement and knowledge retention (Kukulska-Hulme, 2017). The microlearning model engages students with information in a convenience way helping to enhance both accessibility and flexibility in learning. Microlearning's rise is closely related to the need for just-in-time learning material, which is needed especially in professional and corporate training environments. For technical education, mLearning has brought a great revolution in education (Goundar & Kumar, 2022).

Using the benefits of mobile technology with short, targeted learning intervals (Yuan et al., 2021), the combination of mLearning and microlearning has proven effectiveness in education. The explosion of cell phones among faculty, teachers, professionals, and students has created a need for scalable and flexible learning solutions (Roediger & Butler, 2011). The great benefit of this is that mLearning can provide opportunities for

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.22159/ijoe.2025v13i5.54158. Journal homepage: https://journals.innovareacademics.in/index.php/ijoe.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to express sincere gratitude to all researchers whose work has contributed to the development of this field and the review article. Moreover, thanks to our seniors and colleagues for their insightful help in data search, paper selection, discussions, and valuable feedback throughout the preparation of this review. **Authors' Contribution:** All the authors have gone through literature searches, found relevant studies, and included vital analysis on the methodology of the review. Authors created the introduction, worked on the central topic of the review, and synthesized significant literary findings. Dr. Sudha Silawat and Dr. Tripat Kaur Chawla have provided guidance and assistance for manuscript drafting and revision. Dr. Jyoti Agrawal and Ms. Prerana Sikarwar have edited the work for clarity and consistency. **Conflict of Interest:** The author declared no conflicts of interest concerning this article's research, authorship, and publication. **Funding Source:** Nil.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Jyoti Agrawal, Department of Botany, Government College Umarban-454449, Dhar (M.P.) India. **Email:** jyotiagrawal111@rediffmail.com

students in underprivileged regions or those with limited access to traditional educational resources (Yuan et al., 2021).

The present review study has investigated the usefulness of mLearning and microlearning across several educational settings, also emphasizing its pedagogical consequences, benefits, challenges, and future growth etc. (Aslan, 2022). After the COVID-19 pandemic, this digital transformation may be used to improve learning experiences. Though the technology has evolved so much, challenges include device compatibility, internet access, and user interaction abound, etc., which have to be answered. This paper aimed to improve the body of knowledge in use on mLearning by synthesizing present research and providing an analysis of design ideas, best practices, future, and limitations of the same. This review paper has investigated the creation, present status, and future potential of mLearning and microlearning (Kukulska-Hulme, 2017; Mehra et al., 2025; Roediger & Butler, 2011).

Review of the Related Literature

Evolution of mLearning and Microlearning

Technical advancements, changing educational criteria, educational tools, and pedagogical model development, etc., have helped people to understand the mLearning and Microlearning development. The explosion of various types of mobile gadgets has transformed the access, consumption, and engagement with instructional study materials (Siemens, 2013). Moreover, because it fits with contemporary learning preferences, microlearning has become quite popular. Within a short period, the last twenty years, both mLearning and microlearning have developed from first experimentation to extensive use. History of microlearning equivalents has showed its long-standing advantage, and in the very beginning it has been included into the curriculum in both Russia and Western countries (Lykova & Savelyeva, 2024), very soon the basic idea has changed and mobile learning becoming common, and the advent of digital technology push the microlearning into the forefront (Alias & Razak, 2024; Frosch & Lindauer, 2025; Leleka et al., 2022).

Sharples' (2000) preliminary research work has revealed that mLearning may be used in higher education and it dominantly affects informal learning opportunities. Sharples (2000) suggested that, particularly by means of the capture of real-time data and the transmission of tailored instructional resources, mobile devices provide fresh possibilities for contextual and located learning. This time shift towards using mobile technologies to increase access to education can be seen. Below is a brief summary of the emergence and development of mLearning worldwide.

Table 1
The Developmental Timelines of the Evolution of Mobile and Micro-learning

Year	Milestone/Development	Technology/Innovation	Key Impact on Mobile and Microlearning	References
2000	Early use of simple mobile phones for education	Basic mobile phones and SMS text messaging without multimedia features	Limited educational use, mainly applicable for basic communication and text-based learning	(Baytiyeh, 2019).
2003	The first concept of mLearning emerges	Early mLearning platforms (WAP, SMS) at a lower level	The concept mainly used SMS and early web-based technology for simple education-related activities.	(Goundar & Kumar, 2022)
2005	The first widespread use of iPods in education	iPod technology, audio-based learning	Portable audio files (podcasts) made mLearning more interactive and accessible to a limited people.	(Weller, 2005)
2007	Introduction of smartphones with Internet Access	iPhone and Android smartphones	Smartphones with internet access revolutionized mLearning and have become too common, enabling a wide range of apps for educational purposes.	(Al-Rahmi et al., 2022)
2010	Launch of MLearning Apps (Duolingo, etc.)	Rapid development of mLearning applications	Apps like Duolingo introduced gamified, bite-sized learning, quizzes, and image-based learning, paving the way for microlearning.	(Pedro et al., 2018)
2012	Emergence of microlearning as a concept	Video-based platforms, micro-lectures	The idea of microlearning gained popularity with platforms like YouTube offering quick lessons.	(Aslan, 2022).
2013	Launch of MOOCs, LMS, and integration with mobile devices	MOOCs (Coursera, edX), mobile-responsive design	MOOCs became mobile-optimized, allowing students to access courses in shorter time frames.	(Soylev, 2017)
2015	Emergence of Gamification and Interactive Learning Tools	Large-scale use of gamified apps, virtual rewards, and learning analytics	The integration of gamification elements into mobile apps created more engaging and effective microlearning environments across the world.	(Anderson & Bushman, 2001)
2016	Integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in mLearning	AI-based personalized learning, learning analytics, tools, etc..	AI opened adaptive learning paths.	(Siemens, 2013)
2017	Integration of AR/VR in MLearning	Augmented Reality (AR), Virtual Reality (VR)	AR/VR provided immersive learning experiences, making microlearning more engaging and experiential.	(Erwis et al., 2024)
2018	Mobile-First Learning Platforms Rise	Mobile-first design, responsive design for apps	The adoption of mobile-first platforms, which prioritize mobile user experience, facilitated seamless, time-free microlearning.	(Palomino, 2022)
2020	Surge in remote and MLearning (COVID-19)	Cloud-based learning platforms, video conferencing apps	MLearning adoption, with mobile-based tools becoming central to remote learning strategies.	(Yuan et al., 2021)
2022	AI-powered mLearning and 5G Integration	5G technology, advanced AI algorithms	5G networks enable faster, more immersive mLearning experiences, supporting real-time interactions with AI tutors.	(Mehra et al., 2025)
2025+	Future of Hyper-Personalized Learning	Advanced AI, Machine Learning, 5G-powered apps	AI and machine learning provide highly personalized learning paths through mobile devices.	(Woolf, 2025)

Microlearning was originally designed to be used in professional development and business training, etc. According to Arpaci (2015), microlearning was specifically effective in professional environments as it allowed employees just-in-time learning and fast application of newly learned skills. Similarly, Goundar and Kumar (2022) have found that microlearning solutions could improve the retention and engagement of employees as compared to traditional learning techniques. According to research by Okai-Ugbaje et al. (2022), microlearning projects produced faster skill growth and learner autonomy than the conventional method. For example, offering customers succinct, self-directed instruction, several companies have adopted microlearning via mobile apps such as LinkedIn Learning, Udemy, and Coursera.

With multimedia materials (text, figures, audio, video, graphics) and interactive involvement (live sessions, chat), cellphones changed mLearning. According to Goundar and Kumar (2022), cellphones improve learner involvement by providing students access to a range of learning tools. By providing students with larger study screens and improved capabilities for instructional applications, tablets have opened the possibilities of learning. A meta-analysis by Yang and Xiang (2024) showed that across several fields, the use of tablets in education has greatly improved student engagement, performance, and motivation. For the students of high and higher secondary standards, especially, it was demonstrated that the physical characteristics of tablets (such as haptic interfaces and portability) increased the participatory component of learning.

Furthermore, formal education at elementary, middle, and secondary as well as higher education levels has successively integrated mLearning. According to the studies of Bennett et al. (2013), 79% of students utilized their smartphones for academic purposes, including research, interaction with teachers, and peers. He also reported the use of mobile app engagement in online learning activities. From the teacher's perspective, they used the same for the creation of interactive quizzes, tests, and learning games using mLearning platforms such as Kahoot! (2013) and Quizlet (2005), therefore improving the learning environment by raising the interest of students in education. Along with educational field, microlearning's ability to satisfy just-in-time education and skill development helped it to flourish in business training. Artificial intelligence (AI) has now been integrated and enriched the mLearning more and more to provide customized learning opportunities. In another research, Fernandez et al. (2009) found that by customizing information based on user performance and preferences, therefore offering personalized learning routes, all over the world, AI-driven mobile apps improve learning outcomes.

Pedagogical Frameworks of mLearning and Microlearning

Including mobile devices and microlearning into the classroom marks a pedagogical change as much as a technological one. To fully use their possibilities, these learning models must have traditional educational approaches reviewed (Sitzmann et al., 2006). The educational models for mLearning and Microlearning aim to be flexible, learner-centered environments fit for the cognitive, social, and emotional needs of digital age students. One somewhat well-known mLearning instructional strategy is constructivism. Based on the ideas of psychologists like Jean Piaget, Lev Vygotsky, and Jerome Bruner, constructivism holds that students acquire their own understanding by active interaction with their environment (Woolf et al., 2013). Often in real-world settings, mLearning naturally gives students the means to gain knowledge and develop information in authentic, contextualized ways. The mobility and frequency of mobile devices help to enable experience and discovery-based learning. Since mLearning lets students engage with instructional content at any time and in various situations that are personally relevant, another study (2007) has claimed that mLearning is most easily understood within the framework of constructivism. All of these are basic ideas of constructivist learning; learners may interact with interactive material, engage in group activities, and consider their experiences using mobile devices.

According to a study by Yang and Xiang (2024), mLearning has been seen to encourage active participation and introspection qualities fundamental to constructivist teaching. Particularly in STEM education, the studies revealed that mLearning tools using problem-based learning (PBL) approaches produced improved student involvement and a deeper knowledge in them. According to Goundar and Kumar (2022), mobile devices were found to help scaffolded learning, which lets students get direction and help when needed, a basic component of Vygotsky's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD). Developed by Jean Lave and Etienne Clancey in 1995, Situated Learning Theory emphasizes how fundamentally social and contextual learning is worthwhile. It claims that involvement in real-world events within a community of practice is the best way one learns; hence, they also appreciated the mLearning.

By means of context-aware education, which helps students to engage with real information and activities in situ, outside the classroom setting, mLearning links naturally with this paradigm. Additionally, researchers have also revealed that the mLearning lets students interact with their surroundings, therefore facilitating location-based learning. According to Shakeel's (2023) studies on the relationship between contextual learning and mobile technologies, mobile devices provide students the flexibility to connect with real-world events such as fieldwork, trips to historical sites, or experiment running. So, in many ways, mobile devices were reported to make education more relevant and participative, therefore improving contextualized and real-world learning opportunities. Yuan et al. (2021) have seen how often mobile devices were used in outdoor learning environments. Studies showed that by linking instructional content with specific locations like botanical gardens and historical sites, GPS-based mobile apps enhanced student involvement. According to this study, mLearning lets students access many context-specific resources that enhance understanding, therefore enabling contextual learning.

George Siemens's definition of connectivism (2005) emphasizes, particularly in the digital age, the importance of networks and connections in the learning process. Learning, according to Siemens, happens via the ability to combine information from numerous sources and distribute it throughout a social network. By allowing access to the internet and social media platforms, mobile devices are ideal tools for improving connectivism learning, as seen by many researchers. Along with this, mLearning has been seen to help students build learning networks so they may interact instantly with classmates, experts, and various tools. Mobile devices' social networking features, such as those of WhatsApp, Twitter, and Facebook, help students participate in social learning, idea exchange, participation in different activities, and group knowledge building. Essential components of connectivism, social interaction, and collaborative knowledge development are improved by mLearning (Goundar & Kumar, 2022). Another study has found that by building communities of practice, mobile apps with social elements such as chats, group projects, and crowdsourced content improved the learning process. MLearning tools supporting peer-to-peer collaboration, according to Fischer (2013), improved information flow and sharpened critical thinking. Conversations, shared materials, and fast comments from students helped to build a vibrant learning network among the students of far distance also. In 2008, Delich et al. conducted a study showing how mLearning improved peer assessment and collaboration among university students, therefore highlighting the effectiveness of networked learning environments in supporting deep learning.

While microlearning is more directly related to behaviorist learning concepts, mLearning largely supports constructivist and connectivist notions. Behaviorism stresses visible changes in behaviour, hence stressing the role of repetition and reward in determining learning results. Microlearning fits very well with this idea as it delivers little, easily absorbed bits of knowledge that are quickly applicable. Microlearning's focus on repetition and reinforcement helps to retain knowledge and acquire skills through repeated practice and feedback (Okai-Ugbaje et al., 2022). By use of periodic repetition, flashcard-based programs as Anki have proven effectiveness in improving long-term vocabulary retention in language learning.

Researchers, Ertmer and Newby (2013) showed that microlearning may be used in business-related educational training. Compared to other traditional training courses, this study found that brief, focused learning modules greatly enhanced memory rates and task performance among different levels. Students said that targeted, short reference films and interactive scenarios improved their memory of content and its application to real-world challenges. These results were seen to support the theory that in behaviorist-oriented learning environments, microlearning might be a useful tool. John Sweller's 1988 Cognitive Load Theory (CLT) holds that material presented in a way that does not exceed the learner's cognitive capacity would maximize learning. Microlearning directly supports CLT by giving knowledge in short, digestible chunks, and hence reducing cognitive load and allowing students to absorb knowledge more quickly. Furthermore, research by (2011) also supports chunking's utility of chunking in control of cognitive load. Many other studies have revealed that the focus of microlearning on succinct, digestible lessons aligns with CLT ideas, thereby enabling learners' capacity to absorb knowledge in smaller chunks and so reduce cognitive load.

According to Shakeel et al. (2023), it has been observed that the students' engagement in microlearning environments showed better recall and higher competency in tasks requiring procedural knowledge. These results also showed that the short microlearning style helped students focus on important material instead of being overwhelmed with extraneous data. Yang and Xiang (2024) carried out a study looking at how microlearning affects cognitive performance in higher education. Students who underwent short, focused learning sessions showed improved task performance compared to those who attended lengthier, more complex lectures, said the researchers. Microlearning, according to the studies, reduced cognitive load and raised students' capacity for knowledge storage and utilization.

Technological Advancements Supporting Mobile and Microlearning

At present, hundreds of studies have revealed that the development of mLearning and Microlearning is intimately correlated with technical developments, as mobile and other related devices provide more dynamic and interesting learning opportunities as they develop stronger and more flexible. The addition of AI, 5G connection, augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and machine learning, the great accessibility of smartphones and tablets has greatly improved the delivery and effectiveness of mobile and microlearning (Erwis et al., 2024). The broad availability of high-resolution touchscreens, multicore CPUs, and high-speed internet on mobile devices helps students to interact with knowledge, video lessons, and educational games, thus enhancing engagement and knowledge retention (Fernandez et al., 2009).

The field of mLearning has been much expanded by the development of mobile applications for education. From languages to sophisticated engineering, platforms such as Khan Academy, Duolingo, Quizlet, and Coursera etc. have created simple, content-rich apps, therefore giving students a wide range of resources across courses with a number of facilities and comforts. Applications meant for microlearning, like Anki, Memrise, and Duolingo, especially provide users with succinct and interesting content they could ingest in quick intervals. According to Goundar and Kumar (2022), mobile devices have transformed students' access to instructional knowledge and their involvement in self-directed learning. Because they provide students with flexible, mobile access to learning materials, smartphones have greatly increased involvement. A basic premise of microlearning, this accessibility encourages students to interact with materials in tiny, doable chunks.

Table 2
Different Technological Tools and Platforms Supporting Mobile and Microlearning

Tool/Platform	Type	Key features	Description	References
Duolingo	Language Learning based Mobile App	Gamification, adaptive learning, multimedia content, AI, personalized tools, progress tracking	Duolingo uses a gamified approach for language learning, provides bite-sized lessons, and interactive quizzes.	(Kadambaevna et al., 2021)
Edmodo	Learning Management System (LMS)	Messaging, AI, Assignment submission, Personalized tools, discussion forums, mobile integration	Edmodo is a mobile-friendly LMS that provides communication facilities between students and teachers, with features such as assignment tracking and feedback.	(Garrison & Vaughan, 2013)
Kahoot!	Game-based self-learning platform	Provide interactive quizzes, real-time competition, reports on learning performance, AI	Kahoot! is a platform for creating fun, interactive quizzes, offering a highly engaging learning experience, particularly for groups.	(Bowler & Ross, 2021)
Quizlet	Mobile/desktop-based app	Flashcards, quizzes, games, customizable study sets, and audio support	This enables learners to create and use flashcards for studying in a variety of subjects, supporting mobile microlearning.	(Shah & Patel, 2019)
Moodle Mobile	LMS	Provide content delivery, offline access, real-time notifications, forums, and assignment submission	Moodle offers mobile integration to its LMS, allowing students to engage with content, participate in discussions, and receive real-time updates, assessments, results with discussion.	(Morrison & Laurent, 2019)
Skillshare	Online learning platform	Readily available, short courses, Video lessons, interactive workshops, peer reviews	Skillshare offers microlearning as short courses in creative and practical fields.	(Dorland, 2023)
TED-Ed	Video-based learning platform	Animated lessons, video discussions, quizzes, lesson customization, and AI	TED-Ed is a platform that offers engaging, bite-sized video lessons on a wide range of topics, paired with quizzes for assessment.	(Kadambaevna et al., 2021)
GoConqr	MLearning platform	Mind maps creation, readily available flashcards, quizzes, notes, tools, and goal-setting	GoConqr offers a mobile-friendly toolset for creating mind maps, quizzes, discussions, and notes, supporting customized learning.	(Vera-Morales et al., 2021)
Coursera	Online course platform	Expert's video lectures, peer-reviewed assignments, mobile app support, and tools	Coursera provides short courses and professional certifications in various fields.	(Palomino, 2022)
Trello	Task and project management tool	Can help to create Boards, lists, cards, deadlines, checklists, and mobile app/tool support	Trello allows students, employees, and educators to organize tasks and learning projects, supporting mLearning workflows.	(Cohn & Evans, 2020)

In addition to this, the scientific data have shown that the emergence of cloud computing has transformed the storage, delivery, and management of instructional information, including educational content. Cloud-based services provide the effortless dissemination of educational content across devices and platforms, ensuring the scalability and accessibility essential for mLearning, even in backward countries too. Cloud technology has facilitated the conception of Learning Management Systems (LMS) that are accessible all over via mobile devices (Rumiantsev et al., 2023). Their access can be controlled and also protected by entering a specific ID and password. Prominent LMS systems such as Moodle, Blackboard, Canvas, Talent-LMS, Schoology, Docebo, Adobe Captivate, LearnDash, iSpring Learn, and Google Classroom now provide mobile applications enabling students and instructors to access resources, submit assignments, and engage in collaborative activities. These systems are built with the incorporation of different multimedia material like videos, quizzes, puzzles, and interactive simulations that enhance both mLearning and microlearning altogether (Churchill et al., 2016; Frosch & Lindauer, 2025).

Cloud-based platforms such as Google Drive, Microsoft OneDrive, and Dropbox enable real-time collaboration among learners at various levels. With the help of these tools, students may collaborate on group projects, they can exchange papers, and engage in discussions, all via their mobile devices. Unlike traditional educational material, the accessibility of cloud resources guarantees that educational materials are perpetually obtainable, irrespective of the learner's geographical location. The findings of Goundar and Kumar's (2022) research revealed that cloud-based mLearning systems markedly enhanced learner cooperation, engagement, attention, awareness, and productivity in the learning. These solutions facilitated instructors in monitoring student progress and providing tailored feedback, therefore augmenting the personalized and more output-based learning experience.

In the present sanctuary, AI and machine learning algorithms have introduced an unprecedented degree of personalization and customization to mobile and microlearning. AI is used to customize information at any time, from any place, with more updated data, to anticipate student behaviour, and provide adaptive feedback, resulting in a more personalized and effective educational experience (Aslan, 2022). Adaptive Learning Systems has also evolved education much further. AI-driven adaptive learning systems have worked a lot to customize education by modifying the difficulty, pace, and material. These assurances that learners have been consistently pushed without experiencing overload, hence enhancing the overall efficiency of learning and the final outcomes (Mehra et al., 2025; Yuan et al., 2021).

Many applications, such as Duolingo, use AI algorithms to tailor language learning classes according on the user's performance, assisting learners in concentrating on their areas of difficulty. For example, among many, Knewton and Smart Sparrow are two adaptive learning systems that use AI to provide real-time modifications to educational material and provide the most effective, tailored educational content. AI-powered chatbots have become more prevalent in mLearning contexts (Kadambaevna et al., 2021; Rumiantsev et al., 2023). These bots have been designed in such a way that they can support prompt replies to student enquiries, can give advice during tasks, and can evaluate learner progress. AI-driven teaching systems may imitate individualized interactions, facilitating learners' comprehension of challenging subjects at their own speed. The research work of Woolf et al. (2013) on AI-based tutoring systems has clearly revealed that these systems have enhanced learning results, especially in mathematics and language acquisition. Parallelly, their studies have clearly shown that the AI-driven systems have the potential to provide customized learning trajectories.

The implementation of 5G networks is poised to exert a significantly positive impact on mLearning, especially regarding speed, latency, and connection. As compared to 4G and older versions 5G offers very rapid data transmission rates, enabling high-quality, real-time interactive learning experiences, particularly in distant or rural regions. The high speed and the minimal latency of 5G have been seen to provide real-time feedback, appear to have more interest in live streaming of lectures, and virtual communication and discussion among students and instructors, all of which are crucial for mobile and microlearning (Frosch & Lindauer, 2025). The emergence of 5G is anticipated to markedly improve the use of VR and AR in education, which are progressively incorporated into mLearning systems. VR and AR enable students to engage in simulated settings (e.g., historical events, chemical experiments, multimedia, painting, plant-based activities etc.,) or interact with three-dimensional objects in real time. Research conducted by Bailenson (2018) has revealed that VR experiences significantly enhanced spatial awareness and engagement among the studied population of students. The increased speed and capacity of 5G enable VR apps to operate in a hassle-free manner on mobile devices, hence promoting more immersive and effective learning experiences. AR and VR are transformative technologies that offer highly engaging and immersive experiences. Presently, AR technology overlays digital material (such as photographs, videos, and 3D models) onto the actual environment, enabling students to engage with items and information instantaneously with great learning outputs. Mobile-based applications or tools such as Google Expeditions and JigSpace allow users to examine virtual representations of biological systems, historical landmarks, or architectural designs via their smartphones or tablets (Anderson & Bushman, 2001).

In addition to the above-mentioned applications, AR is well suited for microlearning, for instance, students may use AR to get immediate elucidations of intricate schematics or engage in practical activities in disciplines such as biology or engineering (Bailenson, 2018). Conversely, VR constructs entirely immersive digital settings, enabling students to investigate and engage with virtual realms. Mobile-based VR technologies, like Google Cardboard and Oculus Quest, have been designed to provide access to VR material using economical mobile devices. With minimal uses of actual material, this is especially beneficial in disciplines such as medicine, engineering, and architecture, where practical, experience learning is indispensable (Kadambaevna et al., 2021; Rumiantsev et al., 2023).

Additionally, Erwis et al. (2024) have performed research on the use of virtual reality in educating healthcare workers, revealing that VR-based simulations significantly enhanced skill retention and performance in clinical education. The research indicated that VR learning environments may replicate the real-world settings in an effective manner. Gamification, which involves integrating game-like features such as points, badges, and leaderboards into the educational process, has become more popular in mobile and microlearning contexts. Numerous mLearning systems have included gamified components to enhance engagement and motivation (Bailenson, 2018; Yuan et al., 2021). The tools, such as Kahoot!, Duolingo, and Quizlet, integrate quizzes, challenges, and progress monitoring to improve the educational experience. Anderson and Bushman (2001) have discovered that including gamified components into mLearning enhanced engagement and improved retention rates, increased interest, especially among younger learners. The research highlighted those competitive features, such as leaderboards, that incentivize learners to persist in advancing through educational modules.

Moreover, the Internet of Things (IoT) is the linked network of physical items, capable of communicating and exchanging data with one another. The IoT has the capacity to improve mLearning and microlearning by facilitating context-aware education (Small & Cochrane, 2020). In addition to this, recent studies have revealed that the IoT-enabled gadgets can provide immediate feedback based on actual activities and locations. For instance, with the growing technologies, intelligent classrooms outfitted with IoT sensors can track student's behaviour (e.g., duration spent on activities) and provide real-time modifications to educational material, making learning more dynamic, authentic and adaptable (Butler et al., 2021; Frosch & Lindauer, 2025). The research outputs of Anderson and Bushman (2001) showed that IoT-enabled smart classrooms enhance student involvement and engagement via personalized, context-driven learning experiences. The amalgamation of IoT with mLearning devices facilitated effortless interaction between the physical and digital educational realms, which amazed the student with 4X increased interest in the educational content.

Microlearning Design: Best Practices and Methodologies

Microlearning is a teaching tool that emphasizes students' conveniently accessible, small, targeted amounts of knowledge delivered at their leisure. This method is becoming more and more popular because of its adaptability, flexibility, and capacity to grab pupils with brief material (Goundar & Kumar, 2022). Following best practices and tactics that guarantee these learning modules meet educational objectives while preserving interest and promoting retention helps one design successful microlearning environments. Clearly expressed goals improve information retention and participation as well as help students to grasp the expected result (Mehra et al., 2025). Employing the SMART criteria, specific, measurable, attainable, relevant, and time-bound, a proposed way for defining unique learning goals in microlearning design is to employ SMART learning objectives. This guarantees that the material is both relevant and easily available during a certain time. Sitzmann et al. (2006) found explicit and succinct learning goals enhanced learning transfer in online and mobile contexts. Previous studies have revealed that students' outcomes improved when they received precise directions on their learning needs within a limited time, as their brains concentrated on well-defined, verifiable objectives.

Concision is one fundamental trait of microlearning. Usually lasting two to ten minutes, microlearning courses highlight a particular subject, skill, or career path. This little window aims to lower cognitive load and boost memory (Shakeel et al., 2023). Microlearning courses have to focus on one topic or ability so that the learning process can be clarified using this one. Including numerous topics in one brief session could overload the student and lower their efficacy (Yuan et al., 2021). Frosch and Lindauer (2025) research has found that when material was presented in concentrated, brief bursts instead of lengthy lectures, students retained 40% more. Frosch and Lindauer's (2025) research on online courses found that focused and concise learning tools helped students remember content, hence preventing overload. The research suggests that time constraints in microlearning courses could boost concentration, hence boosting the learning results.

Microlearning has to be interactive if it is going to keep students engaged. Movies, animations, interactive quizzes, gamification, and other multimedia components might raise student participation and enable students to better remember information during the duration of education (Siemens, 2005). Microlearning transforms the experience from passive to active learning, hence, it relies on interaction. Combine gamification with multimedia; studies show that gamification features like interactive activities (such as quizzes, flashcards) and movies substantially boost student interest (Fischer et al., 2013). Gamified components, such as points, badges, or leaderboards, might potentially increase motivation and involvement (Butler et al., 2021; Mehra et al., 2025). Mayer's Cognitive Theory of Multimedia Learning (2005) claims that in learning contexts, the mixing of visual and aural information may improve memory retention and understanding. The hypothesis holds that by use of dual channels, multimedia helps pupils recall and apply knowledge, therefore enhancing their ability for information processing (Baytiyeh, 2019; Kadambaevna et al., 2021).

Microlearning's flexibility helps students to access knowledge when required, therefore offering a timely learning environment. Microlearning lets students review short topic sections whenever they run into difficulty or seek explanation, therefore strengthening knowledge retrieval, skills, or problem-solving ability (Mehra et al., 2025; Palomino, 2022). According to Sitzmann et al. (2006) research, in mobile and on-demand microlearning, mLearning can significantly increase memory retention. Students in on-demand microlearning courses showed 40% higher knowledge retention than those in conventional classrooms. In microlearning, researchers Kukulska-Hulme (2017) stressed the significance of mobile accessibility. When students had access to information at the moment of need, that is, via mobile phones, their just-in-time learning outcomes improved, and they were more adept at implementing their knowledge in real-world scenarios.

Personalization in microlearning enables students to pick the time, location, and way of their material engagement, therefore enabling them to go at their own speed (Woolf, 2010). Microlearning might be configured to enable students to decide on their path of study. This might include allowing students to choose courses depending on their areas of interest, aptitudes, or areas requiring development. Churchill et al.'s (2016) study on personalized learning using microlearning reveals that students who choose their learning speed demonstrated increased interest, contentment, and performance. The study underscored how crucial learner autonomy, which provides options regarding content and delivery methods, is for inspiring and performing in microlearning settings. According to Goundar and Kumar (2022), learners' happiness was greatly raised by microlearning courses with adaptive learning pathways and customized comments. Short, repeated microlearning units spaced regularly have shown benefits in memory consolidation when used for distributing learning activities. This strategy might be included in web-based systems or mobile applications where students are invited to review content beyond assigned periods (Yang & Xiang, 2024). Based on Ebbinghaus's famous research on the forgetting curve published in 1885, humans lose between 50 and 80% of freshly acquired knowledge within 24 hours without reinforcement. Long-term memory is greatly enhanced by regularly reinforced learning, according to studies on spaced learning (Small & Cochrane, 2020).

Research by Roediger and Butler (2011) validated this notion by demonstrating that spaced learning and retrieval practice, that is, recalling acquired knowledge, markedly improved students' retention. This is repeating brief learning chunks at precisely timed intervals in microlearning, a method often utilized on platforms like Anki or Quizlet that use spaced repetition algorithms. The microlearning experience depends on timely and readily useful feedback, which helps students organize their knowledge and find areas needing work. Microlearning courses' frequent evaluations, quizzes, or self-assessments are featured in Sitzmann et al. (2006). Before diving into the next topic, interactive quizzes or self-assessment tools are quite successful in helping students evaluate their knowledge. Every microlearning course must give students chances to assess their skills and receive comments on their development.

Studies on instructional feedback released by Adarkwah (2021) indicate that timely remarks help to balance perceived knowledge with real comprehension. Under the microlearning paradigm, quick feedback via tests, suggestions, or prompts helps students clear up ambiguities before they advance, therefore increasing the learning outcomes. Motiwalla (2007) observed in mLearning settings that formative evaluations and feedback systems were quite successful, thereby enhancing problem-solving abilities and self-efficacy.

Future Trends and Opportunities in Mobile and Microlearning

Microlearning and lean learning are transforming training and education all around. Both fields are expected to grow greatly with technology improvement, opening new opportunities and changing the learning process (Vera-Morales et al., 2021). It is expected that adding artificial intelligence and machine learning to mobile and microlearning systems will be a rather revolutionary development in the coming years. All of which improve the learning process include personalized educational experiences, dynamic information, and quick feedback offered by artificial intelligence. AI-driven systems may assess learner data and change content based on individual learning preferences, progress, and performance (Al-Rahmi et al., 2022; Butarbutar et al., 2025). This results in adaptive learning, in which case every student receives tailored microlearning modules based on their pace and ability level. AI may recommend specific microlearning courses, modules, or materials, text, video, and gamified components that fit a learner's strengths and weaknesses

(Siemens, 2016). Virtual teachers or AI-driven educational helpers are predicted to proliferate. These AI agents will provide instantaneous help, guiding students along their path with contextually appropriate tools and quick interventions when they run into challenges with a topic (Mehra et al., 2025).

Artificial intelligence in educational systems may precisely predict learning habits, tailor content, and improve student involvement, Woolf et al. (2013) show. According to Kukulska-Hulme (2017), customization driven by artificial intelligence improves student retention and satisfaction. (Anderson & Bushman, 2001) carried out studies showing that gamification included in mLearning systems greatly improved student retention and involvement. According to Deterding et al. (2011), gamified components might boost intrinsic motivation, hence raising students' chances of ongoing interaction with the course content. Bailenson (2018) has pointed out that mLearning environments enhanced by 5G networks might provide real-time feedback and remote collaboration, therefore giving students a better sense of presence in virtual learning settings.

Just-in-time learning approaches are a clear future trend in mLearning. Particularly in corporate training, professional development, and lifelong learning environments, students will have on-demand access to microlearning materials exactly when needed. Mobile devices will steadily enable on-the-job learning (Woolf, 2010). Microlearning modules will be used by professionals in fields like medical, financial, and customer service to solve problems, build new capabilities, or instantly refresh existing knowledge, hence reducing the need for traditional long-term training courses (Yuan et al., 2021). Organisations will devote funds for mobile-first learning solutions that include microlearning into regular operations as the demand for upskilling and reskilling in the workforce grows. Mobile apps will provide employees with succinct training to help with decision-making and enhance their skills, even on-demand. Butler et al. (2021) found that workers who used on-demand learning via mobile devices showed faster task completion and more ability to apply knowledge when utilizing learning materials on demand, hence improving workplace productivity.

Sophisticated analytics and learning analytics included in mobile and microlearning systems will provide important new perspectives on learner behaviour, interaction, and development. Teachers and companies may always improve learning opportunities by collecting and analyzing information on student interaction with content (Agrawal, 2021; Li et al., 2024). By use of sophisticated analytics tools, mLearning systems will provide real-time insights into student performance and engagement, therefore enabling teachers to create microlearning courses tailored for certain groups of learners. By tracking student knowledge retention over time, analytics will help to highlight areas for content development enhancement (Shchetynina et al., 2022). Predictive analytics can help mLearning systems to suggest tailored content, signal next actions, and identify potential knowledge gaps, thereby ensuring ongoing and significant student progress. Siemens (2013) underlined how important learning analytics are to provide tailored learning environments. Big data allows companies to estimate student results, customize learning paths, and optimize instructional materials for better performance.

Social learning paradigms will be used more and more in mobile and microlearning to let students engage in group projects, discussion forums, or mobile apps, thereby facilitating their participation in shared experiences. Social learning elements will encourage real-time knowledge sharing, peer interactions, and dialogues (Palomino, 2022). Mobile social networks and peer-to-peer learning platforms would enable easy interactions, group projects, and knowledge exchange among students spread across several geographical areas. Learners will therefore create a global learning community in which they cooperatively solve problems, provide comments, and quickly exchange resources (Shchetynina et al., 2022). According to Aslan's (2022) study on collaborative learning, children who participated in social learning environments had far higher critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and memory. This emphasizes, in microlearning environments, the need for social contact (Woolf, 2010).

Limitations of the Study

The research has various restrictions, even if it has interesting conclusions. Particularly, individuals from different geographical or educational backgrounds, the study scope may contain a few significant studies. A bias in the choice of sources might have distorted the results towards certain mLearning platforms or technical tools, therefore lowering generalizability. As new techniques and discoveries surface, the fast expansion of mobile technology might render some research findings obsolete. Most studies in this area concentrate on short-term results; hence, it is challenging to know how long mLearning and microlearning will be effective (Deterding et al., 2011; Palomino, 2022).

Another obstacle is student variation. The research could have missed variables influencing mobile and microlearning efficacy, including age, technical ability, and device accessibility. Furthermore, impeding the acceptance of these teaching strategies might be access to technology, especially in underdeveloped or rural regions. The investigated studies could not have fairly distinguished between formal and informal learning settings, thereby restricting their relevance (Palomino, 2022). The literature may have underlined the need of teachers or facilitators, who are essential for mobile and microlearning strategies, and challenging to grasp how to effectively use them. Another restriction is concentrating too heavily on mobile apps and neglecting podcasts, the internet, and social media, all of which may help mLearning. Western educational environments might introduce cultural bias that inhibits the applicability and transferability of research results to non-Western or culturally varied circumstances (Frosch & Lindauer, 2025; Mehra et al., 2025).

Conclusion

Ultimately, all over the world, by offering flexible, easily available, individualized learning experiences, mLearning and microlearning are changing the educational scene. These learning approaches are becoming increasingly more immersive, fast, readily available, and flexible as technology develops with artificial intelligence, augmented reality, 5G, virtual reality, and gamification, allowing students to interact with materials. Although there are numerous opportunities, ensuring these changes benefit every student depends on tackling problems such as data quality, digital justice, and accessibility, even if these are not always easy. Eventually, mobile and microlearning are driving a move towards more dynamic and learner-centered education, therefore empowering individuals for lifelong learning and skill development.

References

Adarkwah, M. A. (2021). The power of assessment feedback in teaching and learning: A narrative review and synthesis of the literature. *SN Social Sciences*, *1*(3), 75. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-021-00086-w

Agrawal, J. (2021). Effect of lockdown on education of rural undergraduate students Duringcovid-19 pandemic in Umarban (Dhar), M.P., India. *Innovare Journal of Education*, *9*(3), 14–18. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijoe.2021v9i3.41647

Alias, N. F., & Razak, R. A. (2024). Revolutionizing learning in the digital age: A systematic literature review of microlearning strategies. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *33*(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2024.2331638

Al-Rahmi, A. M., Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alturki, U., Aldraiweesh, A., Almutairy, S., & Al-Adwan, A. S. (2022). Acceptance of mobile technologies and M-learning by university students: An empirical investigation in higher education. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(6), 7805–7826. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-10934-8

Anderson, C. A., & Bushman, B. J. (2001). Effects of violent video games on aggressive behavior, aggressive cognition, aggressive affect, physiological arousal, and prosocial behavior: A meta-analytic review of the scientific literature. *Psychological Science*, *12*(5), 353–359. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00366

Arpaci, I. (2015). A comparative study of the effects of cultural differences on the adoption of mobile learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *46*(4), 699–712. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12160

Aslan, S. (2022). Using cooperative learning and the flipped classroom model with prospective teachers to increase digital literacy self-efficacy, technopedagogical education, and 21st-century skills competence. *International Journal of Progressive Education*, *18*(3), 121–137. https://doi.org/10.29329/ijpe.2022.439.9

Bailenson, J. N. (2018). *Experience on demand: What virtual reality is, how it works, and what it can do*. W.W. Norton & Company.

Baytiyeh, H. (2019). Mobile learning technologies as a means of maintaining education delivery in crisis situations. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education*, *15*(3), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.4018/IJICTE.2019070101

Bennett, S., Bishop, J., Dalgarno, B., Waycott, J., & Kennedy, G. (2013). Implementing Web 2.0 technologies in higher education: A collective case study. *Computers and Education*, *59*(2), 124–132.

Butarbutar, R., Sauhenda, A. F., & Marnina, (2025). A systematic review of online peer assessment tools for learning evaluation. *Innovare Journal of Education*, *13*(2), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijoe.2025v13i2.53640

Butler, A., Camilleri, M. A., Creed, A., & Zutshi, A. (2021). The use of mobile learning technologies for corporate training and development: A contextual framework. In M. A. Camilleri (Ed.), *Strategic corporate communication in the digital age* (pp. 115–130). Emerald Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-80071-264-520211007

Churchill, D., Fox, B., & King, M. (2016). Framework for designing mobile learning environments. In D. Churchill, J. Lu, T. K. F. Chiu, & B. Fox (Eds.). *Mobile learning design* (pp. 3–25). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0027-0_1.

Clancey, W. J. (1995). A tutorial on situated learning. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computers and Education* (Taiwan) (pp. 49–70).

Delich, P., Kelly, K., & McIntosh, D. (2008). Emerging technologies in e-learning. *Education for a Digital World*, *5*(1), 43–87.

Deterding, S., Sicart, M., Nacke, L., O'Hara, K., & Dixon, D. (2011). Gamification: Using game-design elements in non-gaming contexts. In *Extended abstracts on human factors in computing systems* (Ch. 11, pp. 2425-2428). https://doi.org/10.1145/1979742.1979575

Dorland, A. (2023). Cultivating creativity: Enhancing creative capacity through online microlearning. *Marketing Education Review*, *33*(2), 156–162. https://doi.org/10.1080/10528008.2022.2159443

Ertmer, P. A., & Newby, T. J. (2013). Behaviorism, cognitivism, constructivism: Comparing critical features from an instructional design perspective. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, *26*(2), 43–71. https://doi.org/10.1002/piq.21143

Erwis, F., Jixiong, C., Rahayu, N., Raharja, A. R., & Zebua, R. S. Y. (2024). Use of Augmented Reality (AR) in mobile learning for natural science lessons. *Journal of Social Science Utilizing Technology*, *2*(1), 376–386. https://doi.org/10.70177/jssut.v2i1.784

Fernandez, V., Simo, P., & Sallan, J. M. (2009). Podcasting: A new technological tool to facilitate good practice in higher education. *Computers and Education*, *53*(2), 385–392. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.02.014

Fischer A. (2013). *Critical thinking: An introduction*. Cambridge University Press.

Frosch, K., & Lindauer, F. (2025). Learning with short bursts: How effectively can we build competencies in climate change-related areas based on microlearning? *European Journal of Education*, *60*(2), Article e70088. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.70088

Goundar, M. S., & Kumar, B. A. (2022). The use of mobile learning applications in higher education institutes. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(1), 1213–1236. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10611-2

Kadambaevna, R. K., Bekchanqizi, S., Muminboevna, R. L., Navfalqizi, D. Z., Amatchonovna, A. S., & Erkinovna, F. G. (2021). Implementing blended learning technology "flipped class" on the basis of the platform "Ted-Ed". *Ilkogretim Online*, *20*(5), 443–446.

Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2017). *Mobile learning: A handbook for educators and trainers* (2nd ed.). Routledge.

Leleka, V., Zabiiaka, I., Tsviakh, O., Grubi, T., & Vytrykhovska, O. (2022). Innovative approaches to teaching students in the modern educational information environment in the USA and Great Britain. *Revista Amazonia Investiga*, *11*(60), 156–166. https://doi.org/10.34069/AI/2022.60.12.17

Li, Z., Bonk, C. J., & Zhou, C. (2024). Supporting learners self-management for self-directed language learning: A study within Duolingo. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education, 21*(3), 381–402. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITSE-05-2023-0093

Lykova, K. V., & Savelyeva, N. K. (2024). The place of microlearning in foreign language teaching methodology: Historiographic study. *Perspectives of Science and Education*, *69*(3), 265–283. https://doi.org/10.32744/pse.2024.3.16

Mayer, R. E. (2005). *The Cambridge handbook of multimedia learning*. Cambridge University Press.

Mehra, J., Madaan, S., Balu, N., Mohit, P., Selvakumar, P., & Manjunath, T. C. (2025). The ubiquitous Internet and smart computation available 24/7 for anyone and anywhere. In E. Babulak (Ed.), *Educational AI humanoid computing devices for cyber nomads* (pp. 247–270). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8985-0.ch010

Motiwalla, L. F. (2007). Mobile learning: A framework and evaluation. *Computers and Education, 49*(3), 581–596. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2005.10.011

Okai-Ugbaje, S., Ardzejewska, K., & Imran, A. (2022). A mobile learning framework for higher education in resource constrained environments. *Education and Information Technologies*, *27*(8), 11947–11969. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11094-5

Pedro, L. F. M. G., Barbosa, C. M. M. O., & Santos, C. M. N. (2018). A critical review of mobile learning integration in formal educational contexts. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *15*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-018-0091-4

Palomino, M. D. C. P. (2022). Implications of mobile learning for sustainable inclusive education: A systematic review. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, *20*(5), 538–553. https://doi.org/10.34190/ejel.20.5.2612

Roediger, H. L., & Butler, A. C. (2011). The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, *15*(1), 20–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.003

Rumiantsev, T., van der Rijst, R., & Admiraal, W. (2023). A systematic literature review of collaborative learning in conservatoire education. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, *8*(1), Article 100683. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100683

Shakeel, S. I., Al Mamun, M. A., & Haolader, M. F. A. (2023). Instructional design with ADDIE and rapid prototyping for blended learning: Validation and its acceptance in the context of TVET Bangladesh. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(6), 7601–7630. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11471-0

Sharples, M. (2000). The design of personal mobile technologies for lifelong learning. *Computers and Education*, *34*(3–4), 177–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1315(99)00044-5

Shchetynina, O., Kravchenko, N., Horbatiuk, L., Alieksieieva, H., & Mezhuyev, V. (2022). Trello as a tool for the development of lifelong learning skills of senior students. *Postmodern Openings*, *13*(2), 143–167. https://doi.org/10.18662/po/13.2/447

Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*, *2*(1), 3–10.

Siemens, G. (2013). Learning analytics: The emergence of a discipline. *The American Behavioral Scientist*, *57*(10), 1380–1400. https://doi.org/10.1177/0002764213498851

Siemens, L. (2016). Project management and the digital humanist. In *Doing digital humanities* (pp. 379-393). Routledge.

Sitzmann, T., Kraiger, K., Stewart, D., & Wisher, R. (2006). The comparative effectiveness of web-based and classroom instruction: A meta-analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, *59*(3), 623–664. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2006.00049.x

Small, J. A., & Cochrane, D. (2020). Spaced retrieval and episodic memory training in Alzheimer's disease. *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, *15*, 519–536. https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S242113

Soylev, A. (2017). MOOCs 2.0: The social era of education. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, *18*(2), 56–67. https://doi.org/10.17718/tojde.306557

Sweller, J., Ayres, P., & Kalyuga, S. (2011). *Cognitive load theory*. Springer Science+Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-8126-4

Vera-Morales, M., Naranjo-Morán, J., & Pino-Acosta, A. (2021). Technological resources in a blended learning environment for the teaching of ornithology. In *International Conference on Innovation and Research* (pp. 65–77). Springer International Publishing.

Warren, S., Spector, J. M., & Williams, S. (2016). The role of mobile learning in educational technology: Current trends and future opportunities. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *64*(4), 651–671. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-016-9443-4

Woolf, B. P. (2010). *Building intelligent interactive tutors: Student-centered strategies for revolutionizing e-learning*. Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.

Woolf, B. P., Lane, H. C., Chaudhri, V. K., & Kolodner, J. L. (2013). AI grand challenges for education. *AI Magazine*, *34*(4), 66–84. https://doi.org/10.1609/aimag.v34i4.2490

Yang, H. L., & Xiang, J. J. (2024). Mobile learning and students' academic achievement: A second-order meta-analysis study. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, *62*(4), 741–763. https://doi.org/10.1177/07356331241226589

Yuan, Y.-P., Wei-Han Tan, G. W. H., Ooi, K.-B., & Lim, W.-L. (2021). Can COVID-19 pandemic influence experience response in mobile learning? *Telematics and Informatics*, *64*, Article 101676. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2021.101676

Received: 09 March 2025
Revised: 23 June 2025
Accepted: 02 July 2025